

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/893,362	06/25/2001	Brian Mark Shuster	409475-38	1669
58688 7	590 05/24/2006	06 EXAMINER		INER
CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ LLP			CHAMPAGNE, DONALD	
P.O. BOX 220	7			
WILMINGTON, DE 19899			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3622	

DATE MAILED: 05/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		09/893,362	SHUSTER ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
		Donald L. Champagne	3622	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. O period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D. (35 U.S.C. § 133)	
Status				
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21 Fe</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicat i 9)□ 10)⊠	Claim(s) 1-33 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-33 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 25 June 2001 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	wn from consideration. r election requirement. r. D⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is objected to drawing(s) is objected to drawing(s) is objected to drawing(s) is objected to drawing(s) is objected in a prequired if the drawing(s) is objected to drawing(s)	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.				
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 3622

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments filed with an amendment on 21 February 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The arguments are addressed by revision of the last rejection and explicitly at para. 7 and 8 below.

Priority

2. The examiner confirms that this application has benefit of provisional applications No. 60213396 and 60213827 under 35 U.S.C. 119(e). An objection to the priority claim was raised in the last Office action (mailed on 21 November 2005). Applicant's reply (2nd para. on p. 7) adequately addressed that objection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. <u>Claims 1-33</u> are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Hamzy et al. (US006636247B1) in view of Net-mercial.com (PR Newswire, 18 August 1999).
- 5. Hamzy et al. teaches (independent claims 1 and 17) a method and system for providing advertising in a computer network, the method comprising: receiving a request from at least one user for delivery of a user-selected Web page associated with a Web site, and selecting at least one advertisement for delivery to said at least one user in conjunction with said user-selected Web page (an advertisement associated with that web page, col. 2 lines 12-15), said advertisement being selected from a plurality of advertisements (col. 4 lines 41-44); and delivering said at least one advertisement in a format that precludes said at least one user from bypassing any portion of said ad (col. 2 lines 25-30).

Application/Control Number: 09/893,362

Art Unit: 3622

6. Hamzy et al. does not teach playback of an <u>audio</u> ad. <u>Net-mercial.com teaches</u> playback of an audio ad (end of the second para., marked reference "A"). <u>Because</u> Net-mercial.com is referred to by Hamzy et al. (front page, under *OTHER PUBLICATIONS*), <u>because</u> multimedia ads attract customers, and <u>because</u> applicant discloses that multimedia content is becoming more prevalent (para. [0007] of the published application, US 20020022999A1), it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time of the invention, to add the teachings of Net-mercial.com to those of Hamzy et al.

Page 3

- 7. Applicant argues (pp. 7-8) that Hamzy et al. fails to teach "playback". The rejection states that playback is taught by Net-mercial.com, not by Hamzy et al. Applicant argues further that Hamzy et al. is concerned with a different problem. Hamzy et al. teaches several options at col. 2 lines 10-30. The cited option, at col. col. 2 lines 25-30, reads on the claimed invention.
- 8. Applicant also argues (p. 8, 2nd para.) that Net-mercial.com teaches away from the instant invention by "giving the consumer complete control of the ad". Net-mercial.com teaches several options at the top of p. 2/2, marked reference "B". For most of these options, including the first (A timer informs the user that the ad is only temporary ...), Net-mercial.com does not teach "giving the consumer complete control of the ad".
- 9. <u>Hamzy et al. also teaches</u> claims 2, 3, 18 and 19 (col. 6 lines 38-50, where a series of buttons reads on a plurality of input devices).
- 10. Claims 5 and 21 are admitted prior art (para. [0006] of the [published application).
- 11. Net-mercial.com also teaches claims 13-16 and 29-32.
- 12. <u>Neither reference teaches</u> claims 6, 7, 22 and 23. However, they are obvious common commercial practice because ordinary money reads on "credits".
- 13. Neither reference teaches claims 4, 8-12, 20 and 24-28. These were common practices at the time of the instant invention. (Claims 8-12 and 24-28 describe ad targeting.) It is obvious to use common practices. Official notice of these common knowledge or well known in the art statements was taken in the last Office action (mailed on 21 November 2005, para. 13). These statements are taken to be admitted prior art because applicant either failed to traverse the examiner's assertion of official notice or that the traverse was inadequate. (MPEP 2144.03.C.)

Application/Control Number: 09/893,362

Art Unit: 3622

Page 4

14. Neither reference teaches claim 33. Official notice is taken (MPEP § 2144.03) that pop-up were common at the time of the instant invention. It is obvious to use common practices.

Conclusion

- 15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).
- 16. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.
- 17. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald L Champagne whose telephone number is 571-272-6717. The examiner can normally be reached from 6:30 AM to 5 PM ET, Monday to Thursday. The examiner can also be contacted by e-mail at donald.champagne@uspto.gov, and informal fax communications (i.e., communications not to be made of record) may be sent directly to the examiner at 571-273-6717. The fax phone number for all formal matters is 571-273-8300.
- 18. The examiner's supervisor, Eric Stamber, can be reached on 571-272-6724.
- 19. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Donald L. Champagne Primary Examiner Art Unit 3622

Art Unit: 3622

- 20. AFTER FINAL PRACTICE Consistent with MPEP § 706.07(f) and 713.09, prosecution generally ends with the final rejection. Examiner will grant an interview after final only when applicant presents compelling evidence that "disposal or clarification for appeal may be accomplished with only nominal further consideration" (MPEP § 713.09). The burden is on applicant to demonstrate this requirement, preferably in no more than 25 words. Amendments are entered after final only when the amendments will clearly simplify issues, or put the case into condition for allowance, clearly and without additional search or more than nominal consideration.
- 21. Applicant may have after final arguments considered and amendments entered by filing an RCE.
- 22. **ABANDONMENT** If examiner cannot by telephone verify applicant's intent to continue prosecution, the application is subject to abandonment six months after mailing of the last Office action. The agent, attorney or applicant point of contact is responsible for assuring that the Office has their telephone number. Agents and attorneys may verify their registration information including telephone number at the Office's web site, www.uspto.gov. At the top of the home page, click on Site Index. Then click on Agent & Attorney Roster in the alphabetic list, and search for your registration by your name or number.

DONALD L. CHAMPAGNE PRIMARY EXAMINER

20 May 2006